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#### Abstract

The motion of a thin vortex with infinitesimally small vorticity in the velocity field created by a steady straight vortex is studied. The motion is governed by non-integrable PDE generalizing the Nonlinear Schrodinger equation (NLSE). Situation is essentially different in a co-rotating case, which is analog of the defocusing NLSE and a counter-rotating case, which can be compared with the focusing NLSE. The governing equation has special solutions shaped as rotating helixes. In the counter-rotating case all helixes are unstable, while in the co-rotating case they could be both stable and unstable. Growth of instability of counter-rotating helix ends up with formation of singularity and merging of vortices. The process of merging goes in a selfsimilar regime. The basic equation has a rich family of solitonic solutions. Analytic calculations are supported by numerical experiment.
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## 1 Basic equations

One of the most important and unresolved questions in Hydrodynamics is formation of singularity for velocity and vorticity in a finite time. The most perspective candidate for this blow-up solution is the non-linear stage of antiparallel vortex pair instability, first studied by Crow [1]. It was shown [2,3], see also [4-6] that in the limit, when sizes of vortex cores are much less than distance between them, this instability leads to formation of singularity and reconnection of vortices in a finite time. However, this approximation fails as soon as the distance between vortices becomes comparable with core sizes. The scenario of further evolution for the vortex pair is disputable $[7,8]$.

In the study presented in $[2,3]$, we considered approximate solutions of the Euler equation. Now, we study the exact solution of Euler equation, up to logarithmic accuracy. Our consideration has its own weak point: the solutions are singular from the beginning, however, we believe that the model offered in this article is interesting by itself.

[^0]Let the stationary vortex of intensity $q$ be posed at $x=0, y=0$ along the $z$-axis. It creates the velocity field

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{x}=-\frac{q y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \quad V_{y}=\frac{q x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \quad V_{z}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another vortex of intensity $\Gamma$ and core width $a$ moves in this field. We assume that $\Gamma \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0$, but the local induction parameter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\Gamma}{4 \pi} \log \frac{R}{a}=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, the moving vortex does not disturb the stationary vortex. Let $\psi=x+i y$, then $\psi$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}}+\frac{q}{\bar{\psi}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow 0$, Eq. 3 goes to the NLSE with an exotic nonlinearity (this question was discussed in [3-6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau}=i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}} \pm \frac{1}{\bar{\psi}}\right)=i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}} \pm \frac{\psi}{|\psi|^{2}}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $y=z|q|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tau=|q| t$. Sign of $q$ is crucial: if $q>0$, we have co-rotating case, if $q<0$, the counter-rotating case takes place.

Equation 3 is a Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\psi}}, \quad H=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{2\left(\sqrt{1+\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}-\sqrt{1+c^{2}}\right)+q \log \frac{|\psi|^{2}}{\left|\psi_{0}\right|^{2}}\right\} d z \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that at $z \rightarrow \infty,|\psi|^{2} \rightarrow\left|\psi_{0}\right|^{2},\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2} \rightarrow c^{2}$.
Hamiltonian $H$ is a constant of motion. Other constants of motion are the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\psi|^{2} d z, \quad P=i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\psi} \psi^{\prime}-\psi \bar{\psi}^{\prime}\right) d z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the degenerate case $q=0$, Eq. 5 is completely integrable. It is just another version of the Landau-Lifshitz (or local induction) equation. By the Hashimoto transformation [9], see also [10], it can be transformed to the focusing NLSE. The Lax pair for Eq. 3 exists if $q=0$; it is presented in Appendix.

After separation of amplitude and phase

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=A e^{i \Phi}, \quad n=A^{2}, \quad v=\Phi_{z} \\
& R=\sqrt{1+\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| 2}=\sqrt{1+A_{z}^{2}+A^{2} v^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 3 takes form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{n v}{R}=0, \quad A\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}+\frac{v^{2}}{R}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{A_{z}}{R}+\frac{q}{A} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 7 are Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \Phi}, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the long wave semiclassical limit

$$
\frac{A_{z}}{A} \ll v, \quad R \rightarrow \sqrt{1+A^{2} v^{2}}
$$

the second of Eq. 7 simplifies up to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}+\frac{v^{2}}{A}=\frac{q}{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (7), (9) is a system of hydrodynamic type. In the NLSE limit $R=1$ and these equations turn to the gas dynamic equations with an exotic dependance of pressure on density:

$$
P=q \log n
$$

## 2 Helix solutions and their stability

Equation 3 has an exact helix solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi & =\psi_{0}=A e^{i k z-i \Omega t} \\
A_{z} & =0, \quad v=k, \quad R=\sqrt{1+A^{2} k^{2}}, \quad \Omega=\frac{k^{2}}{\sqrt{1+A^{2} k^{2}}}-\frac{q}{A^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

It is a rotating helix. If

$$
q<\frac{k^{2} A^{2}}{\sqrt{1+k^{2} A^{2}}}
$$

the helix rotates in positive direction $(\Omega>0)$. In the opposite case the helix rotates in negative direction ( $\Omega<0$ ). In the marginal case

$$
q=\frac{k^{2} A^{2}}{\sqrt{1+k^{2} A^{2}}}<1
$$

the helix is stationary. If $q=0$, the Hashimoto transformation converts the rotating helix to a stationary monochromatic wave that is an exact solution of NLSE.

Studying the rotating helix stability, let us assume

$$
\psi=\psi_{0}\left(1+\delta \psi e^{i p z-i \omega t}\right), \quad|\delta \psi| \ll 1
$$

and linearize the equations. After solving the linearized equations, we end up with formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}^{2}=\frac{p^{4}}{\left(1+k^{2} A^{2}\right)^{2}}+p^{2}\left[-\frac{k^{4} A^{2}}{\left(1+k^{2} A^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 q}{A^{2} \sqrt{1+k^{2} A^{2}}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q \leq 0$, the helix is unstable. In the case $q=0$, the helix is unstable if $p^{2}<k^{4} A^{2}$. Now

$$
\omega_{p}^{2}=\frac{p^{2}}{\left(1+k^{2} A^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[p^{2}-k^{4} A^{2}\right]
$$

This is just modulational instability of monochromatic wave in the focusing NLSE.
For $q>0$, the co-rotating helix is stable if $A^{2}<x / k^{2}$, where $x$ is the solution of equation

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=2 q
$$

In this case helixes, which are close to the steady vortex, are stable, while the "remote" helixes are unstable.
If $k=0$ and $q<0$, the instability of helix is a generalization of Crow instability for two antiparallel vortices.

## 3 Self-similar collapse of counter-rotating vortices

One can look for self-similar solutions of Eq. 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\left(t_{0}-t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+i \xi} f\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{t_{0}-t}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is some unknown real constant. Here, we implicitly state that in this system the Leray scaling takes place, i.e., the domain of vortices interaction shrinks proportionally to $\left(t_{0}-t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $t_{0}$ is the time of singularity formation.

Let us denote self-similar variable $\eta=\frac{z}{\sqrt{t_{0}-t}}$. We obtain the following equation for the self-similar solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \xi f-\frac{1}{2}(f-\eta f)=i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{f^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}}}+\frac{q}{\bar{f}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\xi$ is an eigenvalue of the nonlinear boundary problem with

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad f(\eta) \rightarrow \eta^{1+2 i \xi} \quad \text { at } \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Equation 13 has reasonable solutions in the counter-rotating case $q<0$. The eigenvalue $\xi$ is a function on $q$. This is a subject of determination from the numerical experiment. We applied periodic boundary conditions $\psi(0, t)=\psi(2 \pi, t)$ and used the Strang splitting algorithm to solve Eq. 3 numerically. We took $k=0, \psi(z, 0)=1.25-0.05 e^{-(z-\pi)^{2}} \cos (z-\pi)$. With this choice of parameters,

$$
\omega_{p}=p^{4}+2 q p^{2}, \quad p=1,2, \ldots
$$

we studied development of instability for $q<-1 / 2$. The case $q=-1 / 2$ is a marginal one, however, the instability develops even in this case. On Fig. 1 are presented different shapes of instability development for $q=-1$.

The instability ends up with merging of the vortices at the moment of time $t=T=1.4721$.

## 4 Solitonic solutions

Solitons are the following solutions of Eq. 3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi(z-c t) e^{i \lambda t} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1 Development of instability at $q=-1$

Here $c$ and $\lambda$ are constants. One can use hydrodynamic version of Eq. 3. Then Eq. 7 can be integrated

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c n+2 \frac{n v}{R}=Q=-c n_{0}+2 \frac{n_{0} v_{0}}{R_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \rightarrow n_{0}, v \rightarrow v_{0}, R \rightarrow R_{0}$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.
One can find $v$ and $R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=\frac{\left(1+A^{\prime 2}\right)(Q+c n)^{2}}{n\left(4 n-(Q+c n)^{2}\right)} \quad R=\frac{2 A \sqrt{1+A^{\prime 2}}}{\left(4 n-(Q+c n)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we end up with a pretty complicated second order nonlinear equation for $A(z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{A^{\prime}}{R}+\frac{q}{A}-\lambda A=\frac{Q^{2}-c^{2} A^{4}}{2 A^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{1+A^{\prime 2}}}{\left(4 A^{2}-\left(Q+c A^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be integrated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^{\prime 2}}}=\frac{2 A}{\left(4 A^{2}-\left(Q+c A^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(q \log A-\frac{\lambda}{2} A^{2}+E\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $E$ is a constant of integration. If the soliton is steady $(c=0)$ and asymptotic is not a helix but a straight vortex $(Q=0)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^{\prime 2}}}=q \log \frac{A}{A_{0}}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(A^{2}-A_{0}^{2}\right)+1
$$

"Free" soliton $(q=0)$ is given by equation

$$
A^{\prime 2}=\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2} A^{2}}\right)^{2}-1
$$

$A^{\prime}=0$, if $A=0$ or $A^{\prime}=\infty$, if $A=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}}$. In this case $A_{0}=0$.
The total, very rich family of solutions depends on three parameters: $c, Q$, and $\lambda$. Detailed description of solitons and their stability will be published separately.
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## Appendix

Equation 13 at $q=0$ is a compatibility condition for the following overdeterminated linear system of equations imposed on a complex $2 \times 2$ matrix function $\Phi$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{x} & =\lambda A \Phi  \tag{19}\\
\Phi_{t} & =2\left(\lambda^{2} \frac{A}{R}+\lambda B\right) \Phi \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\lambda$ is a spectral parameter

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i & \Psi^{\prime} \\
-\bar{\Psi}^{\prime} & -i
\end{array}\right] & R=\sqrt{1+\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}  \tag{21}\\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & v \\
-\bar{v} & 0
\end{array}\right] & v=-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations 19 and 20 form the "Lax pair" for (13). Equation 13 is the first non-trivial term in the infinite integrable hierarchy, generated by Eq. 19. This equation is the Gauge equivalent to the Nonlinear Schrodinger equation.
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